1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BRIAN C. VANDERHOOF (10463) LECLAIRRYAN LLP 725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: 213-488-0503 Email: Brian.Vanderhoof@leclairryan.com DAVID V. WILSON II (10278) MEHAFFY WEBER PC 400 South 4th Street, Suite 500 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Tel: 702-448.7981 Email: DavidWilson@mehaffyweber.com Attorneys for Defendant	
9	CIT BANK, N.A.	ICTDICT COUDT
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT STATE OF NEVADA	
11	STATEOF	NEVADA
12		
13	STEVEN G. PINCHUK,	Case No: 2:16-cv-02986-RFB-GWF
14	Plaintiff,	Hon. Richard F. Boulware
15 16	VS.	MOTION TO CONTINUE TIME
17	CIT BANK, N.A.; and EQUIFAX, INC.,	TO FILE THE PROPOSED PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
18	Defendants.	ORDER (Second Request)
19	_ 	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Defendant CIT Bank, N.A. ("CIT"), through its attorney Brian C.	
25	Vanderhoof, Esq. with the law firm of LeClairRyan, hereby requests, under Local	
26	Rule IA 6-1 and Judge Boulware's Court Rules, that the Court extend the time for	
27	the parties to file their Proposed Pretrial Order by an additional 28 days. This is the	
28	second request.	2:16-cv-02986-RFB-GWF
	1	2.10-01-02700-NFD-UWF

On September 20, 2018, the Court heard and decided CIT's Motion for Summary Judgment. After granting the motion in part and following the lengthy hearing, the Court Ordered the parties to file their proposed pretrial order within 30 days. On October 18, 2018, CIT made its first timely request for a brief extension of that deadline because it had then not heard from the plaintiff, Steven Pinchuk ("Plaintiff"). The court granted the request and set November 12, 2018 as the deadline to file the proposed pretrial conference order.

At 3:31 p.m. on Friday, November 2, 2018, Plaintiff emailed a draft joint pretrial order to CIT. The draft joint pretrial order appeared to have been hastily put together insofar as it identified more than 1,200 pages of trial exhibits for what was anticipated to be a one to two day trial. The transmittal email also explained, in essence, that that Plaintiff's counsel, a small firm, had lost some of its staff and associate help this past month creating difficulties. (See Exhibit A.) As of this writing, counsel have been unable to conduct the mandatory Rule 16-3 conference to discuss settlement or the fact and exhibit stipulations. It appears unlikely that this meeting will occur in time to meet the current filing deadline given that Defense counsel has not been able to connect with Plaintiff's counsel save for the attached transmittal.

Good cause exists for the relief requested herein as this request is not made for purpose of delay or any other improper purpose. Among other reasons, good cause exists because the proposed pretrial order is currently due on November 12, 2018 and, while Plaintiff has recently delivered a first rough draft of the proposed joint pretrial order, there is insufficient time for CIT to meaningfully weigh in on the papers following the required meeting of counsel. Moreover, it appears that the Local Rule 16-3 meetings are not likely to occur in the next two business days leaving CIT with no opportunity to meaningfully discuss the issues or settlement. Moreover, even if the parties were able to commence the Local Rule 16-3 meetings this week there will be insufficient time to allow CIT representatives to

1	meaningfully offer input into the required filing. Such a result would be unduly	
2	prejudicial to CIT because the document will shape the direction of the trial.	
3	Furthermore, because the trial date has not been set there will be no prejudice to	
4	any party by this brief request to continue the filing deadline. Indeed, a well	
5	prepared proposed pretrial order will help the parties streamline the trial which will	
6	benefit not only the parties, but more importantly the Court and the jurors.	
7	Plaintiff's counsel has not responded to either the telephonic or email request	
8	to stipulate to the relief requested by this motion. As a result, it is unclear whether	
9	Plaintiff opposes the requested relief. At a minimum, Plaintiff has not articulated	
10	any basis by which she may be prejudiced from the proposed continuance and, in	
11	fact, will likely benefit from a continuance given that Plaintiff does not appear to be	
12	in a position to timely file the proposed pretrial order that is due in three court days.	
13	For the foregoing reasons, CIT respectfully requests that the Court grant the	
14	present motion and enter an order continuing the time to file the proposed pretrial	
15	order from November 12, 2018 to December 12, 2018.	
16	DATED: November 8, 2018 LECLAIRRYAN, LLP	
17	By: /s/ Brian C. Vanderhoof	
18	By: /s/ Brian C. Vanderhoof BRIAN C. VANDERHOOF, ESQ. (10463) 725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: (213) 488-0503 Email: Brian.Vanderhoof@leclairryan.com	
19	Los Angeles, CA 90017	
20	Email: Brian.Vanderhoof@leclairryan.com	
21	ORDER	
22	<u>ONDEN</u>	
23	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the parties shall file their joint proposed	
24	pretrial order on or before December 12, 2018.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
26	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II. United States District Judge	
27		
28	Dated: November 9, 2018 3 2:16-cv-02986-RFB-GWF	
	2.10-04-02/00-RI D-G WI	

EXHIBIT A

Anchante, Graciela

Sent: Friday, November 02, 2018 3:31 PM

To: Vanderhoof, Brian C. **Subject:** RE: Pinchuk v. CIT

Attachments: Pinchuk Pretrial Order Draft JG.DOC

Importance: High

Hi Brian- Hope you are well. I am very sorry for the delay. We have been short-handed and at the beginning of the month an Associate and our best secretary were recruited away by one of the big firms in town...so I have had to cover a lot on my own. Not a lot of sleep either.

Thankfully, I recently hired 2 Associates and they are helping me a catch up. One of the Associates help put together the draft attached. I have not had a chance to review...so I may have some changes...but it is definitely good enough to get to you so you can do you part.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks

Vernon Nelson
The Law Office of Vernon Nelson
9480 S. Eastern Ave., *Suite 252*Las Vegas, NV 89123
702-476-2500 (Office)
702-476-3735 (Direct)
702-476-2788 (Fax)
702-525-7884 (Cell)
vnelson@nelsonlawfirmlv.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission (and/or the attachments accompanying it) may contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is protected by the attorney-client privilege. The information is intended only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. Any unauthorized interception of this transmission is illegal. If you have received this transmission in error, please promptly notify the sender by reply e-mail, and then destroy all copies of the transmission.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE:" To ensure compliance with recently enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we hereby advise you that, unless otherwise expressly stated, any and all tax advice contained in this communication has neither been written nor intended by the sender or this firm for the use of any taxpayer for the purpose of evading or avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed pursuant to U.S. law. Furthermore, unless otherwise expressly indicated, the use of any tax advice contained in this communication has neither been written nor intended by the sender or this firm for the purpose of promoting, marketing, or recommending a partnership or other entity, investment plan or arrangement to any taxpayer, and such taxpayer should seek advice on the taxpayer's particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor.

From: Vanderhoof, Brian C. <Brian.Vanderhoof@leclairryan.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 4:38 PM

To: Vernon Nelson < vnelson@nelsonlawfirmlv.com >

Subject: Pinchuk v. CIT

Vernon,

I left a message at you office just now. We have a joint pretrial report due fairly soon and I have not received your proposed draft. Rather than scramble to put it together at the last minute, let me know whether you will stipulate to a two week extension to file. This will also give us a chance to determine whether this matter is suitable for settlement or whether we will actually need to try the case.

Thanks, Brian

Brian C. Vanderhoof Attorney at Law

LECLAIRRYAN
725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 350
Los Angeles, California 90017
(213) 337-3247 Direct
(213) 624-3755 Fax
(626) 533-6066 Mobile
Brian.Vanderhoof@leclairryan.com
https://www.leclairryan.com

LeClairRyan, LLP is a Delaware Limited Liability Partnership

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

^{*} This e-mail may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail with a copy to emailedeministrator@leclairryan.com and delete this e-mail and all copies and attachments.